CRN Research Note No.2

Recreation Opportunities Spectrum

March 1998

Countryside Recreation Network

Published by The Countryside Recreation Network (CRN) © 1998 the Countryside Recreation Network (CRN) ISBN 0 948502 45 2

Copies are free of charge and are available from:

Countryside Recreation Network Dept. of City & Regional Planning Cardiff University PO Box 906 Cardiff CF1 3YN Tel.: 01222 874970 Fax: 01222 874970 Fax: 01222 874728 e mail cplan-crn-l@cf.ac.uk

March 1998

CRN Research Note:

Recreation Opportunities Spectrum

This paper is from CRN's Countryside Recreation Conference 1995 workshop notes by Colette Mascall and Tony Philpin and represents the draft of a U.K. methodology for the application of the Recreation Opportunities Spectrum.

> Edited by Edmund Blamey, CRN Manager

Formatted by Siân Griffiths, CRN Assistant

The following agencies fund CRN in order to promote good practice:



A UK-wide Network, CRN gives easy access to information and people concerned with countryside and related recreation matters. The Network helps the work of organisations and individuals by:

Research:

to encourage co-operation between members in identifying and promoting the need for research related to countryside recreation, to encourage joint ventures in undertaking research, and to disseminate information about members' recreation programmes.

Liaison:

to promote information exchange relating to countryside recreation, and to foster general debate about relevant trends and issues.

Good Practice:

to spread information to develop best practice through training and professional development in provision for and management of countryside recreation.

The Countryside Recreation Network is committed to exchanging and spreading information to develop best policy and practice in countryside recreation











BWRDD CROESO CYMRU WALES TOURIST BOARD

Countryside Recreation Networ

RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES SPECTRUM

Tony Philpin

Background

As a concept ROS (Recreation Opportunities Spectrum) can be traced back to the 1950s when the importance of diversity in recreation planning was discussed in an American journal of forestry. It was subsequently developed by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forestry Service in the USA as a wilderness planning tool in the early 1970s and then translocated to other English speaking countries like Canada, Australia and New Zealand, all characterised by state owned national parks and/or wilderness areas.

The potential of the ROS was recognised early and described as: "from the paved to the primeval". The Hillary Commission and New Zealand Department of Conservation have extended its range and the guidelines published in 1993 noted that ROS was: "one of the best tools currently available for the integrated management of outdoor opportunities...... It does not answer all the questions or provide all the solutions but it does provide a logical and consistent framework for recreation decision making".

The U.K. Situation

Recreation Management in the U.K. is complex. Recreation opportunities and experiences have to be integrated into a multiple land use situation. Recreation is often not the *primary* land use but is a *principal* land use, particularly in upland areas. In Britain the pattern is often a combination of multiple land use, natural resource management, environmental protection and development pressures all confined within relatively small areas geographically, and with varying degrees of dissonance. Yet we have no generally accepted approach to informal recreation planning and resource evaluation as is *de rigueur* in North America and Australasia. In addition, there are the very current issues of wild land conservation and ad hoc developments within wild areas, both in English National Parks and in Scotland. Delimiting wild land is a difficult exercise and one prerequisite to the establishment of Scottish National Parks over the next

five years or so. The Council for National Parks call for the "rewilding" of parts of English National Parks also requires a coherent and consistent framework for identification of suitable areas. Overall recreation planning and management has still to make a *prima facie* case for the legitimacy of outdoor recreation within the overall land use planning and management framework.

The Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (ROS) is a management tool that enables an integrated approach to be taken to the planning and provision of outdoor recreation and landscape conservation of open country, in particular, wild land. ROS has obvious strengths when compared with techniques such as landscape assessment as it is a descriptive tool with analytic capabilities, unlike simple landscape description. Assessment criteria are measurable. It leads on to management prescriptions and hence links strategic planning with executive functions. This has been the primary purpose of its use in North America, Australia and New Zealand. The central function of ROS is to map the provision of the supply of recreation opportunities. From this, the management of recreational activities can take place. ROS is presented as a planning tool for facilitation in the simplified form used in the BT Countryside For All Good Practice Guide to Disabled People's Access in the Countryside. It has a well established use as part of a resource appraisal process which is the essential precursor to management planning in any comprehensive resource management approach. ROS is under consideration by the John Muir Trust for use in its management planning of the Skye estates.

Implicit in the ROS approach is the concept of matching recreation activities to settings at the most appropriate level. There is a kind of elasticity possible in that inappropriate or potentially damaging activities can be regulated systematically and adherence to the twin principles of "quiet enjoyment" (at least pre 1995 Environment Act) and Sandford's precautionary principle (but applied to landscape impacts) can be built into the system. Wild land, by definition, is the least man modified setting. Logically, visible management permitting certain activities reduces wild land qualities and would be impermissible in a ROS based system.

In New Zealand ROS was originally used for wilderness planning but soon was adopted nationally. ROS was first used in New Zealand for recreation planning and management in a number of settings using a nationally agreed classification system.

In the UK the principal uses of ROS mapping may be:

1. Recreation strategies

ROS may be used to identify the recreation 'supply' that is to be managed. The different ROS classes inform appropriate investment and management approaches.

2. Integrated management strategies

Where it is important to integrate recreation with other values such as ecological protection and cultural/historical conservation, ROS identifies the recreation components. Currently there is over reliance on an *ad lioc* approach.

3. Recreation corridors

Many National Trails, Regional Trails and other linear access such as proposed Greenways pass or will pass through a variety of settings and lead to management plans for sections of the Trail passing through different ROS classes. "Walking track standards" have been derived from ROS classes in Australia and the Tasmanian World Heritage Area.

4. Management plans

The principles of management and resultant management programmes will be different for each of the ROS classes. Management of wild land will have different objectives and standards to urban fringe management.

5. Formal planning – Development control, Structure/UDP and local plans

The ROS map is of high value to planners. The identification of tracts of land which may be a scarce recreation resource for example, Wild land and Semi-wild land in England, can inform development control and structure planning. ROS classes may be important in informing the leisure and recreation elements of local or National Park plans and can be used informally in conflict resolution approaches.

Benefits of ROS

- Provides a rational, consistent framework for decision making;
- Recognises the complete range of recreation opportunities;
- Looks at the supply and context of recreational settings;
- Is a tool for landscape evaluation and conservation;
- Integrates planning with management;
- Enables distinct management objectives to be formulated for each ROS class;
- ROS classes are mapped on easily quantified spatial criteria;
- Allows the consequences of change to be established and monitored.

ROS Classes & Their Definition

The naming of ROS classes varies internationally. The table below gives some equivalents. In the UK almost all land has some human modification and "wilderness", with its implication of a pristine unmodified state is substituted with "Wild land" without the same connotations.

USA	NEW ZEALAND	<u>UK</u>
Primitive	Wilderness	Wild land
Semi primitive non motorised	Remote	Semi-wild land
Semi primitive motorised	Back Country Walk in	Open Country Walk in
Roaded Natural	Back Country Drive in	Open Country Drive in
Rural	Rural	Rural
	Urban Fringe	Urban Fringe
Urban	Urban	Urban

ROS Class Differentiation (see figure 1 UK Recreation Opportunities Spectrum)

- As class definition in the USA, Australia and New Zealand reflects their own jargon, use in this country has to conform to UK nomenclature. The criteria distinguishing between classes are indicated in the outline class summary.
- Note that the presence or absence of modern technological structures is an indicator of remoteness but the description is included under land use.

- In the UK there are no areas of natural unmodified temperate forest except for a few relict areas of Caledonian forest in Scotland, and even these have been "converted" to plantations in some areas. All planted forestry is therefore classified under RURAL as there are no semi-natural equivalents over most of the UK. The regimented and enclosed nature of plantations shows a high degree of landscape modification and complete habitat change.
- Note that the criteria for class differentiation overlap and the draft individual descriptions may be located under different headings on the summary sheet (fig 1.). Further refinement of the methodology will determine the 'best fit' for the class descriptions. Threshold distances are subjective for open and wild land classes.

ROS Mapping

The ROS map can be viewed at a number of scales but field mapping in the U.K. requires data held on 1:50000 and 1:25000 scales. The latter is required for enclosure boundary and land use information. The draft map (see fig. 2)can be drawn as a desk exercise with subsequent field checking for details and visibility of technological structures. GIS functionality makes the process of ROS mapping considerably easier.

WUATOBRE SETTINUTAGORD OPPORTUNITIES SPECTRUM

.

Fromal parks atc sud commercially based activities	PROW or offloer access retwork managemont. Site facilities and servicers, Popular de facto locations. Management of environmental quality.	PROW or othor access network management. Site Incilities and services, picutic altes characteristic of popular locations. Camping and coatavan sites present.	Тradificual/vernacular trail autaces, prodominanity forded or bridged crossings on well used routes, particulation markers, trainator signs and of major thail intersection Musterfing of stock and outleeding. Perceptible environmentat thai intersection Musterfing of stock and outleeding.	Тайіюлат учепасція trail surfaces, реколілація trail surfaces, реколілація (осбоб остранація слазіра оп пакеца, регільна відля вид минаніто от как і розвіру минаніто от как розвіру опібекіто, бозвіру тазнавлики, розвіру тазнавлики, розвіру тазнавлики, розвіру тазнавлики, розвіру тазнавлики, розвіру тазнавлика, розвіру тазнавлика, розвіру тазнавлика, розвіру тазнавлика, розвіру тазнавлика, мак тазнавлика, мак тазнавли тазнавли тазна тазнавли тазна тазнавли тазнавли тазна тазнавли тазна таз	Possibly traditional and/or verpsoular trail autaces, few or no bridged crossings, some pertimeter signa, intrequent mustoring of atock. Search & Rescue, imperceptible frequential management, errotosures. Wild camping may eposetibly few short duration errotosures. Wild camping may be permitted.	No discetable exceptions are Seccit & Rescue and funperception funperception funperception funperception formant, Wild formant,	ИОІТАЭЯЭЭЯ ТИЭМЭФАИАМ
Dominantly commercial and/or formally site based vertety of activities, tecreation provieton may be limited in treatential areas.	Isomercial commercial andro tormally commercial active tracential and active tracential activities of activities and activities areas attestan attestan	Sights and sounds of human activity dominant. Most informat recreation access dependent on legal PROW network density, Some access density, Some access density variable.	Moderate to Irigh probability of experiencing signate and sounds of human activity & outdoor recreation with conventence of proximity to erceass and stratian.	Moderate to high probability of experiencing adults and sounds of human activity & encounters. Experience of outdoor recreation with conventience of activity to access and afailer.	Moderato to fujut or observento futurato experiencing isolation from alguts and sounds or futurato on outdoor skills off defined fitalls. Outdoor equipment fitalls. Jnemginato	High probability of isolation trom sighta and sounds of human Bestivity & moormers. Besting & equipment. Allis & equipment.	аги иоітааярая
Residential, scoial/cultural, bueiness, industrial, transport.	τίματια ασίνιζη αστάτρατα Καστασίσα τοραί παίννας κάσταζας τος παρνοτίς το Κασία τος ποσυτίος τος ποσυτίος τος ματάτος τος	Enclosed landscepe for primary production: terming, torticulture, forestry, quanying & mining, Touristivation Subclasses for FORESTRY, Subclasses for FORESTRY, EXTENSIVE attraction attractions and services. Conclasses for FORESTRY, attractions and services. Subclasses for FORESTRY, attractions and services.	Ехіенсіме дижле, орен Іанд Бауонд Іропида сподежне, ранд організа правійся боль под коло Колье под алайся і паціала под алада са алада в сере органа под салада под сала под салада под салада под са	Extensive grazing, unenclosed lend beyond boundary euclosure, some harces of profesures and rarely, modent profesures and rarely, modent profesured by landocape and/or obserued by landocape and/or vegatation. Modification of semi-natural tree cover up to semi-natural tree cover up to s25% wooded tand.	Exterrative graziogi, unonclosed Iarid, feolated traces of unaci activity.	Mo evidence of spheront mammadu structures except strotated pre-Roman stronences stronen	& Sevd Use & TNEMEDANAM
		ion(ea pnr נושא) נסחנפי pnr נושא	l troqensu tolsm lofleenti-vioilbus	lo ebie dose mat yl bris isuelv yd belir	lisuau anos tallud nil bris banitab ad		Transport Corridor: T
Viritable, Okter linita of residential, industrial or fintestructure development,	No stre criteria. Cultural and lend use defined boundaries some topographical.	tio size ethetia, enitural, land-cone and land land de de defined boundatios	Vo uninimum but generaliy Over 500ine, boundaries topographical, access and ind uso dolined, May amalior area officed, May in or area officed sure bullering Valk in or Sami-wild land.	ប្រែ ការបារាយជា ប្រាវ ពារាយពីថា 2016-2013 (អិលសាលា 2016) ក្រសា ពារបាន ១០០០១១ ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ក្រសា ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ក្រសា ភ្លាំង ភ ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភាព ភ្លាំង ភាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លាំង ភ្លា	tio minimu bul generally aver 1000ba, boundades minimu ar 1000ban poundades	Min. S000na modior boundartes clearly defined by topograchy, hdge lines, coasts etc.,	SIZE OF AREA & BOUNDARIES
Fow elements of Reweils environment Remein, Manmede Setructures predominate	Metwork of reads and vahitulai access . valitigas, adjacent to villagas, adjacent to arburban tesidential arburban access of urban bull to ana	Metwork of roads and vehicular access throughou!. Individual dwellings and famiets, villages.	Han from nearest road. tracks with motorised access day, some ZVVD, regular service access (or by motor boat). Serni natural boat). Serni natural	Minimum 0.5km from Avi access, tkm from pariest toad, braic tracks, trommalotised access except intrequent service trac funtequent service trac Semi-matural vegetation	iten trom nearest road, basic thoka, non motorised access accept infrequent acrvice tree <u>Apparently</u> unmodified natural vegatation.	Akm from nearest road. 3km from Ax4 acceas, no conatriced paths. Appar <u>ently</u> unmodified natural vegetation.	REMOTENESS SROTADIGNI
งุผลุяบ	ИАВАИ ГВВАИ	างกุม	DRIVE IN COUNTRY OPEN	ОРЕИ СОЧИТRY МАLК IN	פפאו-אורם רעמס	אורט ראאס	DIFFERENTIATING AIRERIAD
	тиамич	S SSAJO	L	инятрая ган	пилтяоччо ис	лтаярая.	אי <u>ה</u> איר



SEMEMATIC of RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES SPECTRUM ZONES

Bibliography

Wilderness Areas in Scotland Unpublished PhD Thesis	R. Aitken University of Aberdeen	1977
Wild Land in Scotland (Draft report)	R. Aitken, D. Watson, D. Greene	1994
Recreation Opportunities Spectrum Guidelines for Users	New Zealand Department of Conservation Hillary Commission	1993
Walking Track Management Strategy Tasmania World Heritage Area	Tasmania Parks & Wildlife Service (can be downloaded from Internet)	1995
Landscape Assessment – Principles & Practice	LUC for Countryside Commission for Scotland	1991
Landscape Assessment A Countryside Commission Approach	Countryside Commission CCD18	1987
Walking Track Management Manual	Australian National Parks & Wildlife Service Department of Lands Parks and Wildlife Tasmania	1987
Wildemess Management	J.C. Hendee, G. H. Stankey, R.C. Lucas	1994
BT Countryside For All	Fieldfare Trust	1997

ି ଅ

۰.

The Recreation Opportunities Spectrum: A	R.N. Clark & G.H. Stankey	1979
Framework for Planning, Management and	USDA Forest Service Technical	
Research	Report PNW-98	
Recreation Opportunities Spectrum Users	USDA Forest Service	1982
Guide		
Countryside Recreation, Access & Land	N. Curry Spon	1994
Use Planning		

This paper has been rewritten by Tony Philpin from 1995 CRN conference workshop notes by Colette Mascall and Tony Philpin and represents the draft of a UK methodology for the application of the Recreation Opportunities Spectrum.

Appendix One – Recreation Opportunity Spectrum class definitions

WILD LAND	
Remoteness	4km from nearest road, 3km from 4x4 access, no constructed paths. Apparently unmodified natural vegetation.
Size of area Boundaries Land use	Min. 2000ha and/or boundaries clearly defined by topography.
	No evidence of apparent manmade structures except isolated pre-Roman archaeology.
Use pattern	High probability of isolation from sights and sounds of human activity & encounters. Essential use of outdoor skills & equipment.
Management	No discernible management presence with the exceptions of Search & Rescue and imperceptible environmental management. Non- promotional. Wild camping may or may not be permitted.
SEMI-WILD L	AND
Remoteness	1km from nearest road, basic tracks, non-motorised access except infrequent service use. Apparently unmodified natural vegetation.
Size of area Boundaries	No minimum but generally over 1000ha, boundaries natural or topographical.
Land use	Extensive grazing, unenclosed land, isolated traces of man's activity.
Use pattern	Moderate to high probability of experiencing isolation from sights and sounds of human activity & encounters. Reliance on outdoor skills away from defined trails. Outdoor equipment essential.
Management	Possibly traditional/vernacular trail surfaces, predominantly unbridged crossings, some navigation markers, few perimeter signs. Infrequent mustering of stock. Search & Rescue, also imperceptible environmental management, possibly a few short duration enclosures. Wild camping may be permitted.
OPEN COUNT	TRY WALK IN
Remoteness	Over 0.5km from 4x4 access, 1km from nearest road, basic tracks, non- motorised access except infrequent service use. Semi-natural vegetation.
Size of area Boundaries	No minimum but generally over 1000ha, boundaries topographical, access and land use defined.
Land use	Extensive grazing, unenclosed land beyond boundary enclosure, some traces of man's activity, remnant enclosures and rarely, modern technological structures, partly obscured by landscape and/or vegetation. Modification of semi-natural tree cover up to 25% wooded land.
Use pattern	Moderate to high probability of experiencing sights and sounds of human activity & encounters. Experience of outdoor recreation with convenience of proximity to access and shelter.
Management	Traditional/vernacular trail surfaces, predominantly forded or bridged crossings on well used routes only, navigation markers, signs at major trail intersections and along perimeter. Mustering of stock and some outfeeding. Perceptible environmental management, possibly temporary enclosures. Wild camping may be permitted.

OPEN COUNTRY DRIVE IN

Remoteness	1km from nearest road, tracks with motorised access 4x4, some 2WD, regular service access (or by motor boat), semi-natural vegetation.
Size of area Boundaries	No minimum but generally over 500ha, boundaries topographical, access and land use defined, may be smaller area buffering <i>walk-in</i> or <i>semi-wild land</i> .
Land use	Extensive grazing, unenclosed land beyond boundary enclosure, traces of man's activity, remnant enclosures and infrequent modern technological structures, partly obscured by landscape and/or vegetation. Modification of semi-natural tree cover by plantations and/or inclusions of small areas of enclosed farmland.
Use pattern	Moderate to high probability of experiencing sights and sounds of human activity & encounters. Experience of outdoor recreation with convenience or proximity to access and shelter.
Management	Traditional/vernacular trail surfaces, predominantly forded or bridged crossings on well used routes, navigation markers, signs at major trail intersections and along perimeter. Mustering of stock and outfeeding. Perceptible environmental management, possibly some temporary enclosures. Camping may be permitted.
<u>RURAL</u> Remoteness	Network of roads and vehicular access throughout. Individual dwellings, hamlets, villages.
Size of area Boundaries	No size criteria, cultural, landscape and land use defined boundaries.
Land use	Enclosed landscape for primary production: farming, horticulture, forestry; quarrying & mining, tourist/visitor attractions and services. Subclasses for forestry, extensive & intensive Agriculture. Service distribution networks. Includes designated areas with high recreational use.
Use pattern	Sights and sounds of human activity dominant. Most informal recreation.access dependent on legal PROW network density. Some access charging. Encounter probability highly variable.
Management	PROW or other access network management. Site facilities and services, picnic sites characteristic of popular locations. Camping and caravan sites present.
RURAL SUBC	CLASSES
Forestry	Planted coniferous or deciduous woodland with access tracks. May include relict primary woodland and semi natural secondary woodland of native species. (This may be required to be a separate subclass).
Extensive	Non improved grazing of semi natural vegetation. Possibly some <i>Agriculture</i> field drainage.
Intensive Agriculture	Improved grassland, arable grassland and arable cropping.

URBAN FRINGE		
Remoteness	Network of roads and vehicular access throughout. Individual dwellings, villages, adjacent to suburban residential areas and edge of urban built up area.	
Size of area Boundaries	No size criteria. Cultural and land use defined boundaries some topographical.	
Land use	Enclosed landscape with some primary production: farming, horticulture, forestry; quarrying & mining, industrial and residential, transport infrastructure. Planned and formal visitor attractions and services. Service distribution networks.	
Use pattern	Human activity dominant. Recreation access dependent on legal network density, but frequent de facto use. Encounter probability high.	
Management	PROW or other access network management. Site facilities and services. Popular de facto locations. Management of environmental quality.	
URBAN Remoteness	Few elements of natural environment remain. Man made structures predominate.	
Size of area Boundaries	Variable. Outer limits of residential, industrial or transport infrastructure development.	
Land use	Residential, social/cultural, business, industrial, transport.	
Use pattern	Dominantly commercial and/or formally site based recreation. Wide variety of activities, recreation provision may be limited in residential areas.	
Management	Formal and commercially based.	